

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	Ì
09/723,675	11/28/2000	John K. Roberts	GEN10 P-333	6008	
28469	7590 01/15/2003				
PRICE, HENEVELD, COOPER, DEWITT, & LITTON 695 KENMOOR, S.E. P O BOX 2567			EXAMINER		
			SEMBER, THOMAS M		
GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1
		2875			
		DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/723,675

Applicant(s)

Roberts et al

Examiner

Thomas Sember

Art Unit 2875



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover she	et with the	correspondence address			
	for Reply						
IHEI	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extens	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication.	n no event, however, me	ay a reply be tim	mely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t	the statutory minimum of	of thichy (30) do	mo will be acceptant and			
- Failure - Any re	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) N	MONTHS from t	the mailing date of this communication.			
Status		•					
1) 🔯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 15, 2						
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ction is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for forma arte Quayle, 193	il matters, 5 C.D. 11;	prosecution as to the merits is ; 453 O.G. 213.			
	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-9, 11-18, 104-113, and 119-196			is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s) 105-108, 111-113, 131,	137-139, 144, 1	150-152. i	i is/are withdrawn from consideration			
5) 🗆	Claim(s) 157, 163-165, 174, 180	1-182, 188 an	194-196	is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s)						
71	Claim(s)						
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-9, 11-18, 104, 109, 110, 119-130, 132-</u>	136. 140-14-3, 1'	45-149 1 subject to r	153-156 158-167 166-173 175-179			
	tion Papers	183-187, 1		estriction una/or discusin requirement.			
9) 🗆							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted	or b)□ ot	biected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d						
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on						
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office actio					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U	J.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ∐	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:						
_	Certified copies of the priority documents have						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have						
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.3	2(a)).				
	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of the						
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
a) ∐ 15\□ ./	The state of the s						
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p	priority under 35	U.S.C. §§	3 120 and/or 121.			
Attachmer							
		4) Interview Summa					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:							
<i>"</i> —	ration discussion oranginolities in 10-1440) rapor notes.	6) Utner:					

Art Unit: 2875

Response to amendment

Claims 105-108, 111-113, 131, 137-139, 144, 150, 151-152, 157, 163-165, 174, 180-182, 188 and 194-196 are directed to inventions that are independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 105-108, 111-113, 131, 137-139, 144, 150, 151-152, 157, 163-165, 174, 180-182, 188 and 194-196 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

In applicant's amendment filed on 10/15/02, the applicant amended existing claims and added over 70 new claims which define a plurality different species. Therefore a election requirement is again required:

Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

1. the species of an interior rearview mirror and lighting assembly.

Art Unit: 2875

2. the species of a back-up light assembly

3. the species of an exterior rearview mirror and lighting assembly

4. The species of a license plate illuminator.

5. The species of a vehicle reading lamp assembly.

6. The species of a vanity mirror light assembly.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 2875

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

Art Unit: 2875

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Sember whose telephone number is (703) 308-1938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea, can be reached at (703)-305-4939. The fax phone number for this group are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703)-872-9319 for after-final communications.

Any inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0956.

Thomas M. Sember Primary Examiner

January 9, 2003